



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,887	12/30/2004	Yuichi Tokita	S1459.70056US00	2734
23628	7590	07/30/2008	EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			TRINH, THANH TRUC	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1795			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
07/30/2008	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/519,887	TOKITA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	THANH-TRUC TRINH	1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THANH-TRUC TRINH. (3) Robert Jensen.  
 (2) Edna Wong. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: proposed amendments to claims 1-4.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wariishi et al. (US Patent 6376765) and Osuka (WO 02/14322).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presents new amendments to include efficiency to the independent claims. The Examiner suggests that efficiency is an inherent property to the claimed device. Any amendments are subjected to further consideration and examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edna Wong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required